Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS

The court met in its courtroom at 11:00 A.M. Present: Honorable James A. Ardaiz, Presiding Justice; Honorable Steven M. Vartabedian, Associate Justice; Honorable Rebecca A. Wiseman, Associate Justice; and Eve Sproule, Court Administrator/Clerk, by Elaine J. Duffy, Deputy Clerk.

F023579 County of Stanislaus, et al. v. Dietrick

Cause called and argued by Donald R. Reynolds, Esq., counsel for appellant and by Mary J. Hamilton, Esq., Deputy Attorney General, counsel for respondent.

Cause ordered submitted.

F024401 People v. Freeman

Cause called and argued by Donald I. Segerstrom, Jr., Esq., counsel for appellant and by Patrick J. Whalen, Esq., Deputy Attorney General, counsel for respondent.

Cause ordered submitted.

The court reconvened in its courtroom at 1:30 P.M. Present: Honorable James A. Ardaiz, Presiding Justice; Honorable James A. Ardaiz, Associate Justice; Honorable Steven M. Vartabedian, Associate Justice; and Eve Sproule, Court Administrator/Clerk, by Elaine J. Duffy, Deputy Clerk.

F025275 People v. Phim

Cause called and argued by Michael Satris, Esq., counsel for appellant and by Robert P. Whitlock, Esq., Deputy Attorney General, counsel for respondent.

Cause ordered submitted.

F025999 Agresti, et al. v. BHP Steel BuildingProducts USA Inc.

Cause called and argued by Walter J. Schmidt, Esq., counsel for appellant and by Katherine R. Boyd, Esq., counsel for respondent.

Cause ordered submitted.

The court adjourns.

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F027373 People v. Finley

Appellant's petition for rehearing filed herein is denied.

F026261 People v. Wood et al.

As to appellant Wood, the trial court is directed to prepare an amended minute order of the sentencing that reflects dismissal of count two of the second amended information and the section 12022.1 enhancement, in accordance with the plea bargain (see fn. 2, ante). As so corrected, the judgment is affirmed.

As to appellant York, the judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]